MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy Director for Applications, ODP

THROUGH: Chief, B Division, A/ODP

FROM: Chief, Systems Analysis Branch, EO/OL

SUBJECT: Conif Information System Audit

1. I have reviewed the CONIF Audit Report, dated 8 August 1980, and can comment on recommendations 1, 2 and 7.

2. SAB recently added four new attributes to the CONIF contract file, and a new file to be used for contract tracking. These enhancements were done at the request of the CONIF data base manager and are a partial response to recommendations 1 and 2.

3. The "mailing label system" referred to in paragraph 21 of the audit report is COMA (Contractor Mailing Addresses). COMA consists of a VM file, a CAM EXEC menu and a PL/I program. The system is used to produce mailing address labels for the ████ classified vendors with whom the Agency deals.

25X1A

25X1A

4. The redundancy of manual effort related to "Secure Mailing Addresses" discussed in paragraphs 20-23 of the CONIF Audit Report is something that SAB has been aware of for some time. In a recent review of "Selected OL Automated Systems" done by SAB for the DD/OL, it was recommended that COMA be incorporated into the OL/SS SECOND (Security Contractor Data) system. (SECOND is a GIMS II system with a vendor file similar to the vendor file in CONIF.) In the review, SAB further recommended that the CONIF and SECOND Vendor Files be made more compatible so that data can be passed between the two data bases. A recommendation was made that the SECOND system be available to a larger group of users, which should eliminate the need for the Form 2663 referred to in paragraph 21 of the audit report. The review did not cover CONIF, therefore no recommendations were made concerning CONIF.

OL 0 3825

SUBJECT: Conif Information System Audit

    5.   SAB's resources and priorities do not include implementation
of these recommendations at this time.   The priority that OL manage-
ment will attach to the recommendations in the future is not known.

ILLEGIB

cc: Chief, B Division, A/ODP